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ABSTRACT

Incidence dynamic of pod rot disease of cocoa clones in Lampung, Indonesia. The declining of production and productivity of cocoa in Indonesia might due to pests and diseases attacks and planting unselected clones. Dynamic of pod rot incidence was important to plan pest controlling. To study dynamic incidence of some cocoa clones, a research was conducting in Pesawaran District, a centre of cocoa production in Lampung. A farmer cocoa plot under agro-forestry system was selected which had been upgrading in 2014 by pruning and side-grafting with national clones including Sul 1, MCC 1, MCC 2, ICCRI 7 and selected local clone named T1, T2, and T3. The results showed that pod rot incidence occurred along the year of observation. For immature pod, pod rot incidence was dynamic and varied among months and clones. There was high pod rot incidence every months for particular clone. National clone Sul 1 and local clone T3 exhibited more tolerant to pod rot disease than other clones. Clones Sul 1 and T3 also produced more pod than others. High incidence of pod rot occurred on fruit stage of cherelle (incidence 38.7%) and small pod (incidence 18.8%) that well known as cherelle wilt symptom.
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INTRODUCTION

In 2010, Indonesia is achieving the third world biggest producer of cocoa after Ivory Coast and Ghana. However, production has been decreasing since 2010 from 837,918 to 593,331 metric tons of cocoa bean in 2015 although plantation area is increasing from 1,650,356 to 1,709,284 ha (Directorate General of Estate Crops, 2016). The declining of production and productivity might due to pests and diseases attacks, in particular of cocoa pod borer (CPB), Phytophthora pod rot (PPR) and vascular steak dieback (VSD), unselected planting materials (McMahon et al., 2015; Evizal et al., 2016), poor pruning and sanitation of cocoa field, and yet many cocoa trees were more than 20 years old that need to be replanted or rejuvenated especially in Lampung Province (Evizal et al., 2018; Rubiyo & Siswanto, 2012). Rehabilitation was a national program (named The national movement to increase cocoa productivity and quality) by upgrading non-productive
cocoa field through rejuvenating and side-grafting using superior clones (Danial et al., 2015), especially clones with good adaptation to local agro-climate condition and agro-ecosystem (Saidah et al., 2015).

National clones that performed high yield and resistance to pests and diseases has been recommended as clonal plant material such as ICCRI 7 and Sul 1. Sul 1 was superior clone due to high yield and tolerance to VSD while ICCRI 7 has high yield and quality and tolerance to CPB (Anita-Sari & Susilo, 2013; Anita-Sari & Susilo 2015). Nevertheless Susilo et al., (2015) recommended selected local clones such as MCC 1 and MCC 2 that performed more stable and higher yield than Sul 1. Yet MCC 01 was moderate resistance to CPB and VSD and resistance to PPR, while MCC 02 was resistance to CPB, VSD and PPR.

Phytophthora is able to infect the pods at all stages of development that causing black pod rot and cherelle wilt disease (Guest, 2007; McMahon & Purwanta, 2004). Black pod rot of cocoa may be caused by Phytophthora palmivora (Vanegtern et al., 2015; Iwaro et al., 2006) and Phytophthora megakarya (Akrofi, 2015; Deberdt et al., 2008). Young cocoa pods, known as cherelles, are commonly lost to physiological thinning known as cherelle wilt but also susceptible to fungi (Melnick et al., 2013).

According to Rubiyo & Amaria (2013) pod rot disease is the major cocoa disease in Indonesia that may reduce the production of cocoa up to 44%. Moreover, pod rot disease causes more production losses globally than any other disease of cocoa. P. palmivora has several hundred recorded hosts and is of universal importance in cocoa, causing global yield losses up to 20 to 30% and tree deaths of up to 10% annually (Guests, 2007). Utilizing plant material of clones which are tolerant or resistant to pests and diseases is an important mean of integrated pest management beside others cultural practices, biological control, pheromones, monitoring and applying pesticide (Adu-Acheampong et al., 2015; Acebo-Guerrero et al., 2012).

Scavina clones (including Sca 6 and Sca 12) have been used as the major source of disease resistance in cocoa breeding, including for black pod rot (Phytophthora spp.) and frosty pod. However the main founder germplasm groups in Indonesia especially in the Sulawesi farmer selections were three traditional varieties (Trinitario, Amelonado and Criollo) and two wild cocoa germplasm groups (Nanay and Parinari), while wild cocoa from Peruvian Amazon (Sca) had little contribution (Dinarti et al., 2015). Amelonado (lower Amazon Forastero) is ancient variety with “melon shaped”. Trinitario, is a complex hybrids of Criollo and Forastero, derived from at least three original introduction events (Zhang & Mutulal, 2016). Cluster Nanay (Na), sub-cluster Parinari (PA, cluster Maranon), and sub-cluster Scavina (cluster Contanama) are cocoa of Upper Amazon Forastero according to genetic clustering by Motamayor et al., (2008).

Iwaro et al., (2006) reported that PA clones had largest percentage of persistence (resistant – moderately) among 11 accession groups. Higher proportions of resistant and moderately resistant genotypes were found in the wild accessions than in the cultivated varieties. The Forastero group, consisting of many wild accessions, was found to contain more resistant and moderately resistant genotypes than Trinitario. However Rubiyo & Amaria (2013) stated that beside Sca 12 and Sca 6 (Upper Amazon Forastero), clones ICS 6, DRC 15, DRC 16, ICCRI 3, ICCRI 4 (Trinitario) were found as clones with better resistance than other clones to be used for breeding and planting material.

Lampung province is the 7th largest producers of cocoa in Indonesia, with 70,564 ha of cocoa plantation, where Lampung Selatan, Tanggamus and Pesawaran District are the main producer area (Directorate General of Estate Crops, 2016). Farmers have adopted elite national clones such as Sul 1, MCC 1, MCC 2, ICCRI 7 and local clones selected by farmers from hybrids of Forastero or Trinitario. This research aimed to study dynamic incidence of pod rot of national and local cocoa clones in Lampung.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Site. The research was conducted at farmer cocoa plot under agro-forestry system in Way Ratai Subdistrict, with months of dry season during June to September (Figure 1). The shade trees were cash crops such as banana, coconut, and durio. Cocoa trees were upgrading in 2014 by pruning and side-grafting Pesawaran District of Lampung Province. Rainfall in the region was about 2050.1 mm year\(^{-1}\) with 4 with national clones including Sul 1, MCC 1, MCC 2, ICCRI 7 and selected local clone named T1, T2, and T3. The trial plot was managed by normal farm practice with hand weeding 4 times a year, pruning 3 times a year, fertilizing twice a year (NPK 250 g per tree), no pesticide was applied, and mulch from falling leaf and weed was collected and arranged between rows.

For each clones we observed 6 trees sampled randomly in the multi-clonal population. We counted number of healthy and rotten pods (with minimum 0.25 cm\(^2\) of black rot) in the stage of fruit development according to Niemenak et al., (2010). The fruit stages
were cherelle (BBHC 70-74), small pods (BBHC 75-76), big pods (BBHC 77-80), and ripe pods (BBHC 81-89). The symptom of black pod rot disease was observed according to Guest (2007). Diseased pods were not removed from trees after observations. Data were collected in 2017-2018 and analyzed descriptively. Incidence of pod rot was obtained as percentage of rotten pod per total pod for particular pod stage (Efombagn et al., 2004). Percentage of pod rot between clones was compared by descriptive - quantitative analysis.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In average of the incidence at all pod stage, the result showed that pod rot incidence occurred along the year (Table 1). There was no significant difference of pod rot incidence among the months and the clones due to high standard deviation. The highest incidence (20.8%) was found in August when dry season occurred and then was continue high during wet season (Figure 1). Among the clones, MCC 1 had the highest incidence (22.1%). MCC 1 had been reported (McMahon et al., 2015) as susceptible to pod rot diseases. Clone Sul 1, MCC 2, ICCRI 7, and T3 had lower incidence (8-13%). MCC 2 was resistant to pod rot diseases as reported by McMahon et al., (2015) and Susilo et al., (2015).

The result showed that pod rot incidence varied between clones. Pod rot incidence commonly higher for cherelle and small pod and then it might be decreasing following pod maturity (Figure 2). This indicated that pods at early of development (until age 2-3 months) were susceptible to pod rot as reported by Efombagn et al., (2004). McMahon et al., (2015) reported that susceptible clones such as MCC had rot incidence on mature and immature pod. Hoopen et al., (2012) stated that several factors are involved in pod rot susceptibility, such as the fruiting cycle, fruit size, age, position on the tree and cocoa genotype. Pod growth model was of a sigmoid form with increasing growth occurred in first three months, well known as thinning stage of the cocoa pod. Figure 2 showed that national clone Sul 1 had lowest rot incidence for pod at small until ripe stage. However no clone was resistant to pod rot disease. For most of clones such as T1, T2, MCC1, MCC2 and ICCRI 7

![Figure 1. Average of rainfall year 2002-2011 in Pesawaran District](image)

**Table 1. Percentage of pod rot incidence at all pod stage (cherelle up to ripe pod)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>19.6 ± 32.5</td>
<td>23.7 ± 43.3</td>
<td>12.0 ± 21.6</td>
<td>27.0 ± 43.5</td>
<td>6.5 ± 11.1</td>
<td>17.7 ± 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>25.3 ± 27.3</td>
<td>26.1 ± 21.3</td>
<td>12.5 ± 21.2</td>
<td>21.1 ± 32.1</td>
<td>4.0 ± 8.9</td>
<td>17.8 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>16.6 ± 37.2</td>
<td>19.0 ± 4.5</td>
<td>8.9 ± 15.0</td>
<td>5.1 ± 11.5</td>
<td>14.3 ± 18.5</td>
<td>12.8 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC1</td>
<td>32.3 ± 41.6</td>
<td>20.0 ± 44.7</td>
<td>17.7 ± 25.5</td>
<td>31.3 ± 26.5</td>
<td>9.3 ± 18.9</td>
<td>22.1 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC2</td>
<td>21.6 ± 29.8</td>
<td>10.0 ± 13.6</td>
<td>20.0 ± 44.7</td>
<td>2.9 ± 6.4</td>
<td>8.6 ± 7.8</td>
<td>12.6 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCRI7</td>
<td>16.6 ± 33.3</td>
<td>23.3 ± 25.2</td>
<td>8.8 ± 14.4</td>
<td>5.8 ± 13.1</td>
<td>2.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>11.5 ± 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sul1</td>
<td>13.3 ± 27.5</td>
<td>10.0 ± 22.3</td>
<td>6.6 ± 9.1</td>
<td>3.3 ± 5.5</td>
<td>6.0 ± 9.1</td>
<td>7.8 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>20.8 ± 32.7</td>
<td>18.8 ± 30.4</td>
<td>12.4 ± 21.6</td>
<td>13.8 ± 19.8</td>
<td>7.4 ± 8.4</td>
<td>14.6 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pod rot incidence occurred in all stages of pod development.

Figure 3 showed that dynamic of pod rot incidence of immature pod (cherelle wilt was excluded) varied among months and clones. High incidence was commonly found in wet season October – February (Figure 1). However there was high pod rot incidence (incidence > 10%) every months for particular clone. For susceptible clones such as T1, T2, MCC1, and ICCRI 7 pod rot incidence was high in 2-4 months of observation. Clone T2 came through a high incidence along the year as well as MCC1 although in October no incidence was recorded because no pod was found (Table 2) indicating that all pod dropped caused by pod rot during August. MCC1 was susceptible to pod rot that high pod rot incidence commonly occurred on fruiting season (February – July) could lead to high yield loss (McMahon et al., 2015). In February when many pods were available on the trees, pod rot incidence covered 5-30% of the pod which clone MCC2 and Sul1 were the least (incidence around 5%). Clone MCC2 had high incidence in December when only few pods existed on the trees (see Table 2). Pod rot incidence in April was important because it was the peak of harvesting. Fortunately, pod rot incidence decreased during April for most clones except clone T3 and MCC2. Clone Sul1 had pod rot incidence less than 5% along the year could be considered as tolerant or moderately resistant clone as stated by Iwaro et al., (2006).

Figure 4 showed a dynamic of pod rot incident based on fruit development stage. High incidence of pod rot occurred on fruit stage of cherelle (38.7%) and small pod (18.8%). However, in December cherelle wilt was less than 10%. Rot incidence of small pods was high along the year (10.6-31.7%). Starting from August, medium and big pods suffered the highest pod rot incidence (16-17%) in December during the wet season then the incidence was decreasing. Incidence 3.2% was found on ripe pods during period of August – April. Mostly each stage of fruit development existed every month of observation indicating that fruit cycles were over-lapping along the year. In August we observed high incidence of pod rot of cherelle and small pod that commonly known as cherelle wilt of cocoa. As symptom of small fruit thinning, cherelle wilt or new pod abortion was related to the number new pod which was peak on July-August (Adjaloo et al., 2012).

Table 2 figured dynamic of the total number of immature pod (small, medium and big pod). Number of pod was low during August – December and significantly higher in February then decreasing in April. This pattern
was different with those in West Java where number of pod was similar between semester I (January – June) and semester II (August – December) as reported by (Prawoto, 2014). In fact, cocoa pods existed along the year as well as pod rot disease. Pod rot incidence was driven by wet season (Figure 3-4) as reported by McMahon et al., (2015), pod development stage and fruiting season.

In average there was no significant difference of yield among the clones. However clone T1, T2, MCC1, MCC2, ICCRI 7 produced lower number of pod per month than those of local clone T3 and Sul1. Local clone T3 and national clone Sul1 exhibited high pod number during February – April. Therefore based on number of fruit, local clone T3 was potential clone to be developed especially in Lampung. Moreover local clone T3 was tolerant to pod rot disease with incidence 8-15% for immature pod (Figure 2). Selecting cocoa trees from farm population might found resistant clones as reported by Thevenin et al., (2012).

CONCLUSION

From the results we concluded that pod rot incidence occurred along the year of observation. The highest rot incidence of all pod phase was found in August and then was decreasing. For immature pod, pod rot incidence was dynamic and varied among months and clones. There was high pod rot incidence (incidence > 10%) every months for particular clone. National clone Sul1 and local clone T3 exhibited more tolerant to pod rot disease than other clones. Yet, clones Sul1 and T3 also produced more pod than others. High incidence of pod rot occurred on fruit stage of cherelle (incidence 38.7%) and small pod (incidence 18.8%) that well known as cherelle wilt symptom.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank LPPM of Lampung University for funding this research through scheme of “Superior Research Grant” in 2017.

REFERENCES


