

## VEGETATION DIVERSITY AND INTENSITY OF PLANT PESTS AND DISEASES IN TWO POLY CULTURE SYSTEMS IN TANGGAMUS DISTRICT

Sudiono<sup>1,3</sup>, Surjono Hadi Sutjahyo<sup>2</sup>, Nurheni Wijayanto<sup>2</sup>, Purnama Hidayat<sup>2</sup>, & Rachman Kurniawan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Doctoral Program of Natural Resource and Environment Management, Institut Pertanian Bogor

Jl. R. Pajajaran Baranangsiang, Bogor 16151

<sup>2</sup>Postgraduate Program, Institut Pertanian Bogor

Jl. Kamper Kampus IPB Dramaga, Bogor 16680

<sup>3</sup>Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Lampung University

Jl. Prof. Dr. Sumantri Brodjonegoro No 1, Bandar Lampung 35145

E-mail: sudiono6872@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

*Vegetation diversity and intensity of plant pests and diseases in two polyculture systems in Tanggamus District.* The vegetable crop management cannot be separated from infestation of plant pest and disease which influences the quality and quantity of crop yield. The pest organism development is influenced by agroecosystem. The objective of this research was to analyze vegetation diversity and intensity of pest and disease in Tanggamus District. Methods used in this research were analysis of vegetation diversity based on Shannon index, percentage of pests damage and diseases incidence. The results showed that the vegetation diversity in polyculture of agriculture typology were 11 plant species with diversity index of 0.64; while in polyculture of agroforestry typology there were 11 plant species with diversity index of 0.74 and both of these indices were less than 1 ( $H' < 1$ ). The percentage of pests damage in the polyculture of agriculture larger than polyculture of agroforestry typology ranging from 7.20% to 81.67% and 3.04% to 26.67% respectively. While the incidence of disease in polyculture of agriculture ranging from 0.65% up to 100% and polyculture of agroforestry typology 0.65% up to 68.00%.

**Key words:** polyculture of agriculture, polyculture of agroforestry, Shannon Index

### ABSTRAK

*Keanekaragaman vegetasi dan intensitas hama dan penyakit tanaman sayuran pada dua sistem polikultur di Kabupaten Tanggamus.* Pengelolaan tanaman sayuran tidak terlepas dari adanya gangguan oleh organisme pengganggu tanaman yang berdampak terhadap kualitas dan kuantitas produksi sayuran yang dalam perkembangan organisme tersebut dipengaruhi oleh agroekosistem. Tujuan penelitian ini adalah menganalisis keanekaragaman vegetasi tumbuhan dan besarnya serangan hama dan penyakit tanaman pada tanaman sayuran di Kabupaten Tanggamus. Metode penelitian yang digunakan yaitu analisis keanekaragaman vegetasi berdasarkan indek Shannon, persentase serangan hama dan kejadian penyakit. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan keanekaragaman vegetasi di tipologi polikultur pertanian tercatat 11 species tumbuhan dengan indek keanekaragaman vegetasi sebesar 0,64; sedangkan pada tipologi polikultur agroforestri tercatat 11 species tumbuhan dengan indek keanekaragaman sebesar sebesar 0,74 yang keduanya lebih kecil dari 1 ( $H' < 1$ ). Intensitas serangan hama dan kejadian penyakit tanaman pada tipologi polikultur pertanian lebih besar dibandingkan polikultur agroforestri dengan kisaran hama sebesar 7,20% sampai 81,67% berbanding 3,04% sampai 26,67% dan penyakit antara 0,65% sampai 100% berbanding 0,65% sampai 68,00%.

**Kata kunci:** indeks Shanon, polikultur pertanian, polikultur agroforestri

### INTRODUCTION

An agricultural typology or landscape has different aesthetical qualities from one to another. This depends on diversity of plant types to cultivate. Polyculture of agriculture and agroforestry are examples

of differences of agricultural diversity types. These two types of culture have roles not only at production aspects, but also in other environment aspects including diversity of pests and plant pathogens. Diversity, which is all compositions of types of plants, animals, and microorganisms interacting in a particular ecosystem is

very influential in determining agriculture productivity level. Diversity in an agricultural landscape attracts attentions from many experts, because it contributes significantly to the agriculture productivity, food sustainability, financial profit, and conservation of nature (Liu *et al.*, 2013).

Plant diversity in an agroecosystem can reduce the effects of pest and diseases through some ways both individually and in combinations, including some effects of pest oppression in visual and pest smelling ways, disorders in pest life cycles, reducing inoculums because there is no host plant, antagonist mechanism, the plant physiology resistance because sufficiency of plant nutrients, natural predator conservation, and agricultural landscape effects such as physical barriers and micro climate changes (Ratnadass *et al.*, 2012). Global practices of diversity are familiar to agriculture people, because the agriculture activity covering 25-30% areas in the world is an important activity influencing diversity.

There is a correlation between agroecosystem diversity and pest disease incidence with indicators such as level of pests/disease incidence, low productivity, and pesticide residue. Some research results showed that agrochemical treatments (especially pesticide and fertilizer) had caused problem social and environmental changes (Altieri *et al.*, 1984; Altieri, 1999; Scherret *et al.*, 2008). Agricultural and forestry environment managements are keys for conservation of diversity which significantly improve richness and abundances of species, while tree able to reduce able to improve pest, pathogen incidence, and also to provide ecology services in strengthening beneficial insects (Batary *et al.*, 2011; Tomback *et al.*, 2016; Aluja *et al.*, 2014).

Agriculture systems in developing countries with relatively small sizes of land provide opportunities to reduce effects of pest and disease through practices of increase diversity. This method is very important in conducting sustainable agriculture system (Simon *et al.*, 2010, Muniappan & Heinrichs, 2014). The objective of pest management is to contribute agriculture sustainability with some different aspects such as food sustainability, balanced relationship between human and ecosystem, and ecosystem conservation. The agroecosystem diversification efforts often reduce negative effects of modern agriculture or agricultural industry (Timprasert *et al.*, 2014; Gurr *et al.*, 2013; Savary *et al.*, 2012). Agriculture landscape is representation of habitat including plants, forests, wet lands, and grass land. The diversity of vegetation can serve the dynamics of pest and natural enemy (Philpott, 2013).

The objective of this research was to analyze the vegetation diversity and the damage of pests and the incidence of disease vegetable crop in Tanggamus District Lampung Province.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study Site.** This research was conducted in Gisting and Sumberejo Subdistrict, Tanggamus District Lampung Province and Laboratory of Plant Pests and Disease of Faculty of Agriculture, Lampung University, from March to November 2015.

**Research Procedure.** Data in this research were vegetation diversity, damage of pests and the disease of incidence in some vegetable crops in polyculture of agriculture and polyculture of agroforestry typologies.

This research used quadrant sampling technique. The research locations were taken purposively to represent typology of the regions i.e. the polyculture with crop (polyculture of agriculture) and polyculture with forest tree (polyculture of agroforestry). From each typology, five were selected where plot 400 m<sup>2</sup>. From each plot the following variable were observed 1) plant species and numbers, 2) vegetation diversity, 3) intensity of pests damage, and 4) incidence of disease. The vegetation diversity was determined using Shannon's index (Shannon and Wiener in Ludwig & Reynolds, 1988) as follows:

$$H' = \sum_{i=1}^s \left\{ \left( \frac{n_i}{n} \right) \ln \left( \frac{n_i}{n} \right) \right\}$$

With:

- H' = Shannon's diversity index of vegetatiton in sampled plot  
 ni = Number of species in plot  
 n = Total number of plant in plot  
 s = Total number of plant species observed in the plot

The value of diversity index is defined as folow (1) H' > 3, indicates high diversity high; (2) H' 1 < H' < 3 indicates moderate diversity, and (3) H' < 1 indicates low diversity (Mason & McDonald, 1986).

The intensity of plant pest and incidence of diseasein each sampaled plot was determined with the following equation:

$$P = \frac{n}{N} \times 100\%$$

- P = Intensity of pest damage or disease incidence  
 n = Numbers of plants damaged by pests or disease in plot  
 N = Total numbers of plants observed in plot

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The research was conducted in Gisting Atas Village, Gisting Bawah Village of Gisting Subdistrict, and Wonoharjo Village, Sumber Muyo Village, Simpang Kanan Village of Sumberejo Subdistrict in Tanggamus District, Lampung Province. The site can be reached in  $\pm$  2-3 hours by car from the Province capital Bandar Lampung. This site at 600-1000 m above the sea level (asl) with air temperature ranges of 18-28 °C. Geographically Tanggamus District is located at 104°18' - 105°12' east longitude and 5°05' - 5°56' south latitude, with flat to wavy topographies. The most common

vegetation types in these regions area annual plants such as rice and horticultures and perennial plants such as cacao and coffee. There is a conservational forest in the Tanggamus mountain slopes and this is integrated to Conservational Forest Management Unit (or KPHL) of North Kota Agung area, Lampung Province. The forest site is located in the vicinity of local state crop area and the site is managed jointly by the local community and local government ini form community forest or public forest (or Hkm). This public forest has poor road acces. It only footpaths where farmers can walk along or drive their motorcyle. Meanwhile the agricultural fields in the sitewith relatively flat topography were owned and cultivated by local farmers. Infrastructures like roads, drainages, irrigation, and electricity are available in this agricultural part of the site. The summary of research site presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of information about research site

Plot	Villages	Location Coordinate (GPS)		Elevation (asl,M)	Kinds of plants
		South latitude	East longitude		
1	Gisting Bawah, Gisting	05°.25'.30,4"	104°.43'.56,5"	512	chili, cabbage, mung bean, Green mustard, eggplant, tomato
2	Simpang Kanan, Sumberejo	05°.23'.23,3"	104°.43'.09,5"	536	chili, mung bean, cabbage, rice
3	Sumber Mulyo, Sumberejo	05°.22'.21,1"	104°.43'.10,5"	490	chili, mung bean, eggplant, rice, jabon, papaya, banana, mindi
4	Wonoharjo, Sumberejo	05°.22'.21,1"	105°.23'.16,4"	496	tomato, cabbage, mung bean, yardlong bean, chili, eggplant, rice, coconut, mindi
5	Gisting Atas, Gisting	05°.26'.39,7"	104°.43'.42,4"	568	Tomato, clove, banana, coconut, mindi, nutmeg, papaya
6	Gisting Permai, Gisting	05°.27'.12,9"	104°.42'.56,7"	600	eggplant, jabon, white teak, nutmeg, papaya, cacao, rubber, mindi, coffee
7	Gisting Atas, Gisting	05°.26'.05,9"	104°.42'.22,3"	767	chili, cabbage, tomato, green mustard, nutmeg, banana, mahogany, coffee, bitter bean, teak, mindi, avocado
8	Gisting Atas, Gisting	05°.26'.06,7"	104°.42'.10,5"	806	Green mustard, onion leafs, cabbage, chili, rubber, banana, bamboo, cacao, coffee, mindi, papaya
9	Gisting Atas, Gisting	05°.26'.00,1"	104°.42'.09,1"	842	chili, cabbage, green mustard, banana, mahogany, mindi, lamtoro, medang, pule
10	Gisting Atas, Gisting	05°.25'.54,8"	104.42'.03,2"	900	cabbage, eggplant, onion leaf, banana, pea, mahogany, pule, coffee, sugar palm, avocado, papaya

The average air temperature were 24.8 °C and 22 °C for polyculture of agriculture typology and for polyculture of agroforestry typology with relative humidity of 88.4% and 90.6% respectively.

**Vegetation Diversity.** There were 11 species in polyculture of agriculture typology with 13,564 individual plants (Table 2). Shannon's diversity index showed the polyculture of agriculture was  $H' < 0.1$ . Only in Gisting Bawah Village that  $H' > 1$ . Shannon's diversity index in all polyculture of agriculture plot was 0.64. This value indicated that the diversity in these regions was low. The lower the diversity index is in a particular location, then the lower the productivity.

There were 11 species with 5,373 individual plants in polyculture of agroforestry field; they were vegetables such as mung bean, nutmeg, and green mustard, and plants such as *khailendra*, *mind*, and mahogany. Shannon's diversity index in all polyculture of agriculture plot was 0,74. In addition, in the polyculture of agroforestry locations there were other plant types such as bitter bean, coffee, nutmeg, *ranti*, and papaya (Table 3). The diversity index in this polyculture of agroforestry typology was under 1 ( $H \leq 1$ ), and this indicated that these locations had low diversity (Mason & McDonald, 1986). However, the diversity index of polyculture of agroforestry site was higher than

polyculture of agriculture site ( $0.74 > 0.64$ ) (Table 2). At least, these low diversity index need serious attention, not only both in ecology and economy considerations, but also for their existences and management of sustainability.

The vegetation diversity index in the research locations varies but under 1 ( $H' < 1$ ) and this indicates a low diversity. This low diversity makes it susceptible to pest intensity or disease incidence. Increasing habitat diversity can increase abundance of natural enemies and their effectiveness to overcome the pest. Higher plant diversity provide alternative hosts, nectars and pollens for adult parasitoids and predators, protect nests and maintain pest population in lower number (Altieri, 1993).

**Pests Damage Characteristic and Vegetation Diversity Index.** The pests damage rate in vegetables with polyculture of agroforestry typology was averagely lower than polyculture of agriculture typology. The pests found were diamondback moth (*Plutella* sp.), aphids (*Aphis* sp.), whitefly (*Bemisia* sp.), cabbage cluster caterpillar (*Crociodomia* sp.), fruit fly (*Bactrocera* sp.), corn earworm (*Helicoverpa* sp.), and armyworms (*Spodoptera* sp.) in cabbage has highest pests damage intensity of 47.2%, while white mustard only had one type of pest, diamond back moth (*Plutella* sp.), with 7.2% pests damage (Table 4).

Table 2. Plant and diversity index in polyculture of agriculture and agroforestry typologies in Tanggamus District

Location code	Village, Sub district	Individual numbers	Plant species numbers	Plant diversity Index (H')
Polyculture of agriculture plot				
A1	Gisting Bawah, Gisting	2,045	5	1.04
A2	Simpang Kanan, Sumberejo	1,565	4	0.71
A3	Sumber Mulyo, Sumberejo	3,187	2	0.59
A4	Wonoharjo, Sumberejo	5,363	3	0.16
A5	Gisting Atas, Gisting	1,804	5	0.70
Total		13,964	11	0.64
Polyculture of agroforestry plot				
F1	Gisting Permai, Gisting	215	5	1.09
F2	Gisting Atas, Gisting	1,160	6	0.91
F3	Gisting Atas, Gisting	2,308	3	0.73
F4	Gisting Atas, Gisting	182	4	0.91
F5	Gisting Atas, Gisting	1,508	2	0.06
Total		5,373	11	0.74

Table 3. Vegetation in various polyculture of agriculture and agroforestry typologies in Tanggamus District

Polyculture of agriculture site		Polyculture of agroforestry site		Diversity index (H')	Diversity index (H')
Family	Local name	Family	Local name		Species
<b>Polyculture of agriculture 1</b>					
Brassicaceae	Green mustard				<i>Brassica chinensis</i>
Fabaceae	Mung bean				<i>Phaseolus radiatus</i>
Solanaceae	Cabai			1,04	<i>Capsicum annuum</i>
Solanaceae	Current tomato				<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>
Convolvulaceae	Sweet potato				<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>
Cariaceae	Papaya				<i>Carica papaya</i>
<b>Polyculture of agriculture 2</b>					
Fabaceae	Yardlong bean				<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>
Solanaceae	Chili				<i>Capsicum annuum</i>
Musaceae	Banana				<i>Musa paradisiaca</i>
Arecaceae	Palm			0,71	<i>Chrysalidocarpus lutescens</i>
<b>Polyculture of agriculture 3</b>					
Solanaceae	Eggplant				<i>Solanum melongena</i>
Fabaceae	Mung bean				<i>Phaseolus radiatus</i>
<b>Polyculture of agriculture 4</b>					
Brassicaceae	Cabbage				<i>Brassica oleracea</i>
Brassicaceae	Green mustard				<i>Brassica chinensis</i>
Solanaceae	Chili			0,59	<i>Capsicum annuum</i>
Solanaceae	Eggplant				<i>Solanum melongena</i>
Myristicaceae	Nutmeg				<i>Myristica fragrans</i>
<b>Polyculture of agriculture 5</b>					
Solanaceae	Tomato				<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>
Liliaceae	Onion leaf				<i>Allium fistulosum</i>
Cariaceae	Papaya			0,70	<i>Carica papaya</i>
Palmaceae	Coconut				<i>Cocos nucifera</i>
Musaceae	Banana				<i>Musa paradisiaca</i>
<b>Polyculture of agroforestry 1</b>					
Fabaceae	Mung bean				<i>Phaseolus radiatus</i>
Palmaceae	Coconut				<i>Cocos nucifera</i>
Meliaceae	Mindi			1,09	<i>Melia azedarach</i>
Meliaceae	Mahagony				<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>
Rubiaceae	Jabon				<i>Anthocephalus cadamba</i>
Lauraceae	Avocado				<i>Persea americana</i>
<b>Polyculture of agroforestry 2</b>					
Fabaceae	Yardlong bean				<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>
Brassicaceae	Mung bean				<i>Brassica chinensis</i>
Coffea	Coffee				<i>Coffea arabica</i>
Meliaceae	Mahagony			0,91	<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>
Meliaceae	Mindi				<i>Melia azedarach</i>
Myristicaceae	Nutmeg				<i>Myristica fragrans</i>
Fabaceae	Bitter bean				<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>
Meliaceae	Papaya				<i>Carica pepaya</i>
<b>Polyculture of agroforestry 3</b>					
Solanaceae	Current tomato				<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>
Brassicaceae	Green mustard			0,73	<i>Brassica chinensis</i>
Meliaceae	Mahagony				<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>
Meliaceae	Mindi				<i>Melia azedarach</i>
<b>Polyculture of agroforestry 4</b>					
Brassicaceae	Tomato				<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>
Myristicaceae	Khailandra			0,91	<i>Myristica fragrans</i>
Meliaceae	Mindi				<i>Melia azedarach</i>
Meliaceae	Mahagony				<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>
Dipterocarpaceae	Meranti				<i>Shorea leprosula</i>
<b>Polyculture of agroforestry 5</b>					
Brassicaceae	Tomato				<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>
Brassicaceae	Green mustar			0,06	<i>Brassica chinensis</i>
Meliaceae	Mahagony				<i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>
Meliaceae	Mindi				<i>Melia azedarach</i>

Table 4. Crop pest damage in polyculture of agriculture and agroforestry typologies in Tanggamus District

Location code	Type of plant	Kinds of pests	Species	Pests damage (%)
<b>Polyculture of agriculture</b>				
A1	White mustard	Diamond back moth	<i>Plutella</i> sp.	7.2
	Mung bean	-	-	
	Chili	-	-	
	Currant tomato	-	-	
A2	Yardlong bean	Aphids	<i>Aphis</i> sp.	81.7
		Pod borer	<i>Lepidoptera</i> sp.	10.6
	Chili	Whitefly	<i>Bemisia</i> sp.	32.9
A3	Eggplant	-	-	-
	Mung bean	Diamond back moth	<i>Plutella</i> sp.	67.0
		Leaf caterpillar	<i>Crocidolomia</i> sp.	18.7
A4	Cabbage	Diamond back moth	<i>Plutella</i> sp.	63.0
		Leaf caterpillar	<i>Crocidolomia</i> sp.	63.0
	Green mustard	-	-	
	Chili	Aphids	<i>Aphis</i> sp.	31.4
		Whitefly	<i>Bemisia</i> sp.	31.4
A5	Tomato	Fruit flies	<i>Bactrocera</i> sp.	12.6
		Corn earworm	<i>Helicoverpa</i> sp.	10.3
		Whitefly	<i>Bemisia</i> sp.	12.6
	Leek	-	-	-
<b>Polyculture of agroforestry</b>				
F1	Mung bean	Diamond back moth	<i>Plutella</i> sp.	8.8
F2	Currant tomato	-	-	-
	Green mustard	-	-	-
F3	Tomato	Whitefly	<i>Bemisia</i> sp.	9.0
		Corn earworm	<i>Helicoverpa</i> sp.	5.0
		Fruit flies	<i>Bactrocera</i> sp.	20.0
F4	Currant tomato	Armyworm	<i>Spodoptera</i> sp.	6.0
		Corn earworm	<i>Helicoverpa</i> sp.	3.0
F5	Chili	Trips	<i>Trips</i> sp.	3.0
		Armyworm	<i>Spodoptera</i> sp.	11.0
		Whitefly	<i>Bemisia</i> sp.	27.0

Pests damage intensity on polyculture of agroforestry typology was lower than that polyculture of agriculture typology. Chili and tomato had highest pests damage intensity (13.6% and 11.8%), while green mustard and currant tomato (*rampai*) had no pests damage. A possible reason for the lower pests damage on polyculture of agroforestry was that agroecosystem

might be stable so that it was able to facilitate better activities of natural enemies which in enable them control and maintain pest population at low number.

The differences of seasons and population dynamics influence insects in different habitats which change over time and according to development stages (Teodore *et al.*, 2008). Natural vegetation is able to

promote natural enemy diversity with varying effects; the same agroecosystem can explain some pests which are more influential than others in a more complex landscape (Henri *et al.*, 2015).

The agroforestry landscape perspective enables knowledge transfer between farmers on agronomy and ecology in participative approach to help driving a management which balances economy and ecology needs (Tscharntke *et al.*, 2011). Polyculture of agriculture reduces ecological sustainability of land use system, while environment change and extreme climate require higher quality responses than ever. Adaptation strategies to environment changes such as cultivating shady trees and field conversion type are common practices to improve sustainability against environment changes. Polyculture of agriculture pattern in a wide overlay is a good for plant growth and development as well as for pest migration from one place to another. A uniformed cultivar in a wide field creates the same situations with effects of interactions of pest, pathogen, and plant growth (Altieri & Nichollas, 2004).

The vegetation diversity index in polyculture of agriculture system ranged 0.59-1.26; while in polyculture of agroforestry system the vegetation diversity index ranged 0.73-1.09 (Table 5). The diversity index value is lower than criteria to use; under 1 ( $H' < 1$ ). Lower diversity index value means lower productivity as indications of severe ecology pressure and unstable ecosystem. Mason & McDonald (1986) suggests that in diversity index value is lower than 1, it means low diversity. If it is around 1-3, it means moderate diversity, and more than 3 means high diversity. The low diversity index in the locations are caused by the facts that the field is continually planted, with low supply of soil

nutrients, excessive sun rays, and few water supply, which make trees are difficult to grow in these areas.

#### Charateristic of Disease Incidence and Vegetation Diversity Index.

The disease incidence rate in polyculture of agriculture typology varies between 1% to 100%, pathogen infection of *Colletotrichum* sp. and *Alternaria* sp. caused 100% disease incidence especially in mung bean. The infections are was low in white mustard, cabbage, eggplant, chili, and their pathogens are *Erwinia* sp., *Plasmidiophora* sp., and *Cersospora* sp. The only vegetable crop free from disease is leek, and the highest rate of disease occurred in tomato.

The disease incidence in vegetable crop in polyculture of agroforestry typology is lower than in polyculture of agriculture typology range 0.65% to 100% versus 0.67% to 68.00%. The highest disease incidence is in tomato and chili, while the lowest is in currant tomato vegetable (Table 6).

Each typology has different diversity index and disease incidence rate and polyculture of agroforestry have higher diversity index than polyculture of agriculture (Table 7). The polyculture of agriculture typology is potentially more susceptible to disease than the polyculture of agroforestry. The agroforestry pattern influences on pest and disease are not only depending on the types of plants, but also depending on other factors including kinds of pests, pest preferences, and micro climate (Tomlinson *et al.*, 2015; Pumarino *et al.*, 2015). Structure and landscape heterogeneity tends to influence disease dynamics and distributions and they function as inhibitors to limit pathogen distribution (Plantegenest & Fabre, 2007).

Table 5. Vegetation diversity index ( $H'$ ) and pests damage percentage for vegetable crop in Tanggamus District

Location code	Village, Sub district	Vegetation diversity index ( $H'$ )	Ranges of pest damage (%)
Polyculture of agriculture			
A1	Gisting Bawah, Gisting	1.26	0.00-7.20
A2	Simpang Kanan, Sumberejo	0.71	10.64-81.67
A3	Sumber Mulyo, Sumberejo	0.59	18.74-66.99
A4	Wonoharjo, Sumberejo	0.83	31.36-62.99
A5	Gisting Atas, Gisting	0.70	10.32-12.59
Polyculture of agroforestry			
F1	Gisting Permai, Gisting	1.09	0.00-8.83
F2	Gisting Atas, Gisting	0.91	0.00-0.00
F3	Gisting Atas, Gisting	0.73	5.08-19.92
F4	Gisting Atas, Gisting	1.01	2.50-6.41
F5	Gisting Atas, Gisting	0.75	3.04-26.67

Table 6. Types diseases and incidence in some vegetable crops in polyculture of agriculture and agroforestry typologies in Tanggamus District

Location code	Kinds of plant	Disease	Pathogen	Disease incidence (%)	
Polyculture of agriculture					
A1	White mustard	Leaf blight	<i>Erwinia</i> sp.	6.24	
		Clubroot	<i>Plasmodiophora</i> sp.	0.65	
		Leaf spots	<i>Xanthomonas</i> sp.	1.91	
	Mung bean	Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp.	100.00	
		Black spot leaf	<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	100.00	
	Chili	Leaf curl	<i>Curly virus</i>	5.33	
		Yellow leaf	<i>Gemini virus</i>	1.34	
		-	-	-	
A2	Yardlong bean	Black spot leaf	<i>Uromyces</i> sp.	10.90	
		Cowpea witches-broom	<i>Cowpea witches-broom virus</i>	2.05	
		Chili	Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp.	100.00
	Chili	Leaf curl	<i>Curly virus</i>	100.00	
		Eggplant	Leaf spot	<i>Cercospora</i> sp.	3.70
			Water molds	<i>Phytophthora</i> sp.	1.00
A3	Mung bean	Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp.	20.00	
		Black spot leaf	<i>Uromyces</i> sp.	80.00	
A4	Cabbage	Leaf spot	<i>Cercospora</i> sp.	1.26	
		Clubroot	<i>Plasmodiophora</i> sp.	1.51	
		Green mustard	Clubroot	<i>Plasmodiophora</i> sp.	0.50
	Chili	Leaf blight	<i>Erwinia</i> sp.	2.83	
		Leaf curl	<i>Curly virus</i>	33.90	
		Yellow leaf	<i>Gemini virus</i>	16.95	
A5	Tomato	Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp.	78.00	
		Leaf spots	<i>Cercospora</i> sp.	68.00	
		Soft rot	<i>Phytophthora</i> sp.	100.00	
	Leek	-	<i>Erwinia</i> sp.	-	
Polyculture of agroforestry					
F1	Mung bean	Black spot leaf	<i>Uromyces</i> sp.	5.00	
F2	Currant tomato	-	-	-	
		Green mustard	Leaf blight	<i>Erwinia</i> sp.	2.34
F3	Tomato	Leaf spot	<i>Cercospora</i> sp.	16.02	
		Black spot leaf	<i>Uromyces</i> sp.	10.81	
		Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp.	40.00	
F4	Currant tomato	Leaf spot	<i>Cercospora</i> sp.	14.06	
		Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp.	4.69	
F5	Chili	Anthracnose	<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp.	68.00	
		Yellow leaf	<i>Gemini virus</i>	0.67	
		Leaf curl	<i>Curly virus</i>	2.17	

Table 7. Vegetation diversity index ( $H'$ ) and disease incidence in vegetable crop in Tanggamus District

Location code	Village, sub district	Vegetation diversity index ( $H'$ )	Ranges of disease incidence (%)
Polyculture of agriculture			
A1	Gisting Bawah, Gisting	1.26	0.65-100
A2	Simpang Kanan, Sumberejo	0.71	2.05-100
A3	Sumber Mulyo, Sumberejo	0.59	1.00-80.00
A4	Wonoharjo, Sumberejo	0.83	0.50-33.90
A5	Gisting Atas, Gisting	0.70	68.00-100
Polyculture of agroforestry			
F1	Gisting Permai, Gisting	1.09	0.65-8.83
F2	Gisting Atas, Gisting	0.91	0.00-0.00
F3	Gisting Atas, Gisting	0.73	5.08-19.92
F4	Gisting Atas, Gisting	1.01	2.50-6.41
F5	Gisting Atas, Gisting	0.75	3.04-26.67

## CONCLUSION

The conclusions of this research are that the vegetation diversity in polyculture of agriculture typology were 11 plant species with diversity index of 0.64, while in polyculture of agroforestry typology there were 11 plant species with diversity index of 0.74, and both of these indices were less than 1 ( $H' < 1$ ). The percentage of pests damage in the polyculture of agriculture larger than polyculture of agroforestry typology the range of 7.20 to 81.67% and 3.04 to 26.67% and the incidence disease in polyculture of agriculture ranged from 0.65 up to 100% and polyculture of agroforestry typology 0.65 up to 68.00%.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the majors of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Lampung University for supporting this research and for providing facilities to do laboratorium analysis.

## REFERENCES

- Altieri MA, Letourneau DK, & Risch SJ. 1984. Vegetation diversity and insect pest outbreaks. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. 2(2): 131–169.
- Altieri MA & Nicholls. 2004. *Agroecology and the Search for a Truly Sustainable Agriculture*. United Nations Environment Programme. Mexico.
- Altieri MA. 1993. Ethnoscience and biodiversity: key elements in the design of sustainable pest management systems for small farmers in developing countries. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 46: 257–272.
- Altieri MA. 1999. The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 74(1): 19–31.
- Aluja M, Sivinski J, Driesche RV, Dadda AA, & Guillen L. 2014. Pest management through tropical tree conservation. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 23(4): 831–853.
- Batary P, Baldi A, Kleijn D, & Tschardt T. 2011. Landscape-moderated biodiversity effects of agrienvironmental management: a meta-analysis. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 278(1713): 1894–1902.
- Henri DC, Jones O, Tsiattalos A, Thebault E, Seymour CL, & Van Voen FJF. 2015. Natural vegetation benefits synergistic control of the three main insect and pathogen pests of a fruit crop in Southern Africa. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 52(4): 1092–1101.
- Gurr GM, Wratten SD, & Luna JM. 2003. Multi-function agricultural biodiversity: pest management and other benefits. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 4: 107–116.
- Liu Y, Duan M, & Yu Z. 2013. Agricultural landscapes and biodiversity in China. *Agri. Ecosyst. Environ.* 166: 46–54.

- Ludwig JA & JF Reynolds JF. 1988. *Statistical Ecology: an Primer Methods and Computing*. John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York.
- Mason CF & McDonald SM. 1986. *Otters: Ecology and Conservation*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge.
- Muniappan R, Director P, & Heinrichs EA. 2014. Biodiversity and integrated pest management: working together for a sustainable future. *Crop Prot.* 61: 102–110.
- Philpott SM. 2013. Biodiversity and pest control services. *Reference Module in Life Sciences Encyclopedia of Biodiversity* 1: 373–385.
- Plantegenest M, May CL, & Fabre F. 2007. Landscape epidemiology of plant diseases. *J. R. Soc. Interface.* 4(16): 963–972.
- Pumarinoa L, Sileshib GW, Gripenberg S, Kaartinena R, Barriosb E, Muchaned MN, Midegae C, & Jonssona M. 2015. Effects of agroforestry on pest, disease and weed control: a meta-analysis. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 16(7): 573–582.
- Ratnadass A, Fernandes P, Avelino J, & Habib R. 2012. Plant species diversity for sustainable management of crop pests and diseases in agroecosystems: a review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 32(1): 273–303.
- Savary S, Horgan F, Willocquet L, & Heong K.L. 2012. A review of principles for sustainable pest management in rice. *Crop Prot.* 32: 54–63.
- Scherr SJ & McNeely JA. 2008. Biodiversity conservation and agricultural sustainability: towards a new paradigm of 'ecoagriculture' landscapes. *Philos Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 363: 477–494.
- Simon S, Bouvier JC, Debras JF, & Sauphanor B. 2010. Diversity and pest management in orchard systems. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 30: 139–152.
- Teodoro A, Klein AM, & Tschardt T. 2008. Environmentally mediated coffee pest densities in relation to agroforestry management, using hierarchical partitioning analyses. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 125(1–4): 120–126.
- Timprasert S, Datta A, & Ranamukhaarachchi SL. 2014. Factors determining adoption of integrated pest management by vegetable growers in Nakhon Ratchasima Province, Thailand. *Crop Prot.* 62: 32–39.
- Tomback DF, Resler LM, Keane RE, Pansing ER, Andrade AJ, & Wagner AC. 2016. Community structure, biodiversity, and ecosystem services in treeline whitebark pine communities: potential impacts from a non-native pathogen. *Forests* 7: 1–22.
- Tomlinson I, Potter C, & Bayliss H. 2015. Managing tree pests and diseases in urban settings: the case of oak processionary moth in London, 2006–2012. *Urban For. Urban Gree.* 14(2): 286–292.
- Tschardt T, Clough Y, Bhagwat SA, Buchori D, Faust H, Hertel D, Holscher D, Jührbandt J, Kessler M, Perfecto I, Scherber C, Schoth G, Veldkamp E, & Wanger TC. 2011. Multifunctional shade-tree management in tropical agroforestry landscapes—a review. *Appl. Ecol.* 48(3): 619–629.